



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,145	10/03/2000	Stuart John Macdonald	002114.P012	6983

758 7590 02/11/2005

FENWICK & WEST LLP
SILICON VALLEY CENTER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

EXAMINER

BRANCOLINI, JOHN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/678,145

Applicant(s)

MACDONALD ET AL.

Examiner

John R Brancolini

Art Unit

2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10,12-18,24-28 and 31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 31 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10,12-18 and 24-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Amendment filed September 27, 2004.

Claims 1, 11, 19-23 and 29-30 cancelled.

Claims 2-10, 12-18, 24-28 and 31 are currently pending in the application.

Response to Applicant's Arguments follows claim rejections.

Drawings

Objections to the drawings are withdrawn due to Amendment.

Specification

Objections to the specification are withdrawn due to Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2 6-12, 16-19, 22-25, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bennett et al. (US Patent Number 6122670), hereinafter referred to as Bennett.

In regards to claim 2, Bennett discloses a computerized method for sequencing and reassembling messages from protocol data units exchanged in a communications channel between two computers, the method comprising:

- Creating a protocol flow object to represent each protocol layer used by the communications channel, each protocol flow object having a circuit element associated with each transmission direction in the channel (In Figures 2a and 2b, Bennett shows a functional block diagram of the system where each protocol layer, such as the transport layer, the network layer, and the datalink layer, are represented by individual flow objects, each with bi-directional communication channels, see also col 4 line 10 to col 5 line 4, a brief overview of the system including details on each protocol layer).
- Arranging the protocol flow objects in a logical tree structure that mirrors a hierarchy for the protocol layers (Figures 2a and 2b show a block diagram of the Bennett system, which is built in a logical tree structure mirroring a hierarchy where each protocol layer flows into the next).
- Creating circuit flow objects for each protocol layer to represent the protocol data units for the protocol layer immediately higher in the hierarchy (Bennett shows that each layer creates a new flow object based on data sets buffered from the protocol layer directly above the current layer in the hierarchy, see figures 2a and 2b, col 4 lines 10-29), wherein creating the circuit flow objects for each protocol layer comprises:
 - Creating the circuit flow objects for the protocol flow object at the bottom of the tree structure by extracting data from the protocol data units for the

protocol layer lowest in the hierarchy (each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the TCP process in the transport layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by the FTP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 45-53).

- Creating the circuit flow objects for the remaining protocol flow objects in the tree structure by extracting data from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object immediately lower in the tree structure (as shown above, each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the IP process in the network layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by the TCP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 60-68).
- Associating a transmission direction with each circuit flow object (after being handled by a protocol layer, the flow object is given a transmission direction to continue through the hierarchy, col 4 lines 50-53, instructions are included with the data as to which layer it is to be forwarded to).
- Linking each circuit flow object for a protocol layer to the circuit element of the representative protocol flow object that matches the transmission direction associated with the circuit flow object (after being handled by a protocol layer, the flow object is given a transmission direction to continue through the hierarchy, col 4 lines 50-53, instructions are included with the data as to which layer it is to be forwarded to, first the data is buffered then it is linked to the correct protocol layer circuit element).

Art Unit: 2153

- Sequencing the circuit flow objects linked to a particular protocol flow object when specified by the protocol layer represented by the particular protocol flow object (individual flow objects are initially buffered when specified for a particular protocol layer which creates a method of sequencing, see col 4 lines 50-53, 61-64).
- Reassembling the messages from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object at the top of the tree structure (ATM network interface chip performs packet reassembly at the logical top of the tree structure, col 6 lines 23-31).

In regards to claim 6, Bennett discloses the protocol flow objects are created in order from the bottom to the top of the hierarchy (Bennett describes the creation flow of the protocol objects in detail in col 4 line 10 – col 5 line 4, logically the creation of the objects is in order from the bottom to the top of the hierarchy).

In regards to claim 7, Bennett discloses the circuit flow objects for a current protocol flow object are created before creating the protocol flow object for the protocol layer immediately above the current protocol flow object in the hierarchy (each level is executed one at a time, before the data is buffered and sent to the next level for a new circuit flow execution, one example is the execution and linking of the data at the TCP process level, col 4 lines 45-53).

In regards to claim 8, Bennett discloses arranging the protocol flow objects into a logical tree structure comprises:

Art Unit: 2153

- Creating multiple branches in the tree structure when a plurality of protocol layers are immediately above a current protocol layer in the hierarchy, each branch corresponding to one of the plurality of protocol layers (Figure 2A shows multiple branches between the transport layer and the network layer, each branch either corresponding to the UDP process or the TCP process).

In regards to claim 9, Bennett discloses determining the protocol layers in the hierarchy (each layer is determined based on linking information and buffering location, col 4 lines 10-29).

In regards to claim 10, Bennett discloses storing the protocol flow objects and the circuit flow objects in a flow object database (Figure 14B shows the storing of the separate flow objects into a data base in the hard disk 25).

In regards to claim 12, Bennett discloses computer-executable instructions to cause a computer to perform a method comprising:

- Creating a protocol flow object to represent each protocol layer used by a communications channel, each protocol flow object having a circuit element associated with a transmission direction in the channel (In Figures 2a and 2b, Bennett shows a functional block diagram of the system where each protocol layer, such as the transport layer, the network layer, and the data link layer, are represented by individual flow objects, each with bi-directional communication channels, see also col 4 line 10 to col 5 line 4, a brief overview of the system including details on each protocol layer).

Art Unit: 2153

- Arranging the protocol flow objects in a logical tree structure that mirrors a hierarchy for the protocol layers (Figures 2a and 2b show a block diagram of the Bennett system, which is built in a logical tree structure mirroring a hierarchy where each protocol layer flows into the next).
- Creating circuit flow objects for each protocol layer to represent the protocol data units for the protocol layer immediately higher in the hierarchy (Bennett shows that each layer creates a new flow object based on instruction sets received from the higher level in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 10-29), wherein creating the circuit flow objects for each protocol layer comprises:
 - Creating the circuit flow object for the protocol flow object at the bottom of the tree structure by extracting data from the protocol data units for the protocol layer lowest in the hierarchy (each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the TCP process in the transport layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by the FTP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 45-53).
 - Creating the circuit flow objects for the remaining protocol layers by extracting data from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object immediately lower in the tree structure (as shown above, each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the IP process in the network layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by the TCP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 60-68).

Art Unit: 2153

- Associating a transmission direction with each circuit flow object (after being handled by a protocol layer, the flow object is given a transmission direction to continue through the hierarchy, col 4 lines 50-53, instructions are included with the data as to which layer it is to be forwarded to).
- Linking each circuit flow object for a protocol layer to the circuit element of the representative protocol flow object that matches the transmission direction associated with the circuit flow object (after being handled by a protocol layer, the flow object is given a transmission direction to continue through the hierarchy, col 4 lines 50-53, instructions are included with the data as to which layer it is to be forwarded to, first the data is buffered then it is linked to the correct protocol layer circuit element).
- Sequencing the circuit flow objects linked to a particular protocol flow object when specified by the protocol layer represented by the particular protocol flow object (individual flow objects are initially buffered when specified for a particular protocol layer which creates a method of sequencing, see col 4 lines 50-53, 61-64).
- Reassembling the messages from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object at the top of the tree structure (ATM network interface chip performs packet reassembly at the logical top of the tree structure, col 6 lines 23-31).

In regards to claim 16, Bennett discloses computer-executable instructions comprising:

- Creating multiple branches in the tree structure when a plurality of protocol layers are immediately above a current protocol layer in the hierarchy,

Art Unit: 2153

each branch corresponding to one of the plurality of protocol layers (Figure 2A shows multiple branches between the transport layer and the network layer, each branch either corresponding to the UDP process or the TCP process).

In regards to claim 17, Bennett discloses determining the protocol layers in the hierarchy (each layer is determined based on linking information and buffering location, col 4 lines 10-29).

In regards to claim 18, Bennett discloses storing the protocol flow objects and the circuit flow objects in a flow object database (Figure 14B shows the storing of the separate flow objects into a data base in the hard disk 25).

In regards to claim 24, Bennett discloses a computerized system comprising:

- A processor (figure 3 item 10 shows a processor).
- A memory coupled to the processor through a bus (figure 3 item 15 shows memory coupled to the processor through a bus).
- A computer-readable medium coupled to the processor through the bus (figure 3 item 25 shows a computer hard disk).
- A plurality of protocol interpreters stored on the computer-readable medium for execution by the processor (the hard disk contains processes for use by the operating system, including protocol interpreters, col 5 lines 44-59).
- A decode engine executed from the computer-readable medium to cause the processor to

Art Unit: 2153

- o Create protocol flow objects representing protocol layers, each protocol flow object having a circuit element associated with each transmission in the channel,
- o Create circuit flow objects representing data flows at the protocol layers, each circuit flow object for a protocol layer linked to the circuit element of the representative protocol flow object that matches the transmission direction associated with the circuit flow object (Bennett shows that each layer creates a new flow object based on instruction sets received from the higher level in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 10-29), wherein creating the circuit flow object comprises:
 - o Creating a circuit flow object for the protocol flow object at the bottom of a tree structure by extracting data from the protocol data units for the protocol layer lowest in the hierarchy of the protocol layers (each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the TCP process in the transport layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by the FTP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 45-53).
 - o Creating circuit flow objects for the remaining protocol data units in the tree structure by extracting data from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object immediately lower in the tree structure (as shown above, each level of the tree is created from extracting the buffered data supplied by the next lowest protocol layer, this can be seen by the IP process in the network layer loading the data from the buffer supplied by

the TCP process, which is logically lower in the hierarchy, col 4 lines 60-68).

- Extract data from the circuit flow objects representing protocol data units at a particular protocol layer as directed by one of the protocol interpreters (data is extracted and sets of instructions executed at each layer, col 4 lines 17-29).
- Sequence the circuit flow objects representing the protocol data units at a particular protocol layer if directed by one of the protocol interpreters (individual flow objects are initially buffered when specified for a particular protocol layer which creates a method of sequencing, see col 4 lines 50-53, 61-64).
- Reassemble messages from the circuit flow objects representing the protocol data units at a particular protocol layer if directed by one of the protocol interpreters (ATM network interface chip performs packet reassembly at the logical top of the tree structure, col 6 lines 23-31).

In regards to claim 25, Bennett discloses the decode engine further causes the processor to store the protocol flow objects and circuit flow objects in a flow database (Figure 14B shows the storing of the separate flow objects into a data base in the hard disk 25), logically link the protocol flow objects into a hierarchical tree structure (Figures 2a and 2b show a block diagram of the Bennett system, which is built in a logical tree structure mirroring a hierarchy where each protocol layer flows into the next), and to logically link the circuit flow objects to the protocol flow objects (after being handled by a protocol layer, the flow object is given a transmission direction to continue through the hierarchy, col 4 lines 50-53, instructions are included with the data as to which layer it is

Art Unit: 2153

to be forwarded to, first the data is buffered then it is linked to the correct protocol layer circuit element).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5, 13-15, 21, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett in view of Stevens (TCP/IP Illustrated, Volume 1; Stevens, W Richard; Addison Wesley Publishers, 1994; pages 148-151).

In regards to claims 3, 13 and 26, Bennett discloses all the limitations of the independent claims 1, 11, and 24, but fails to disclose a vector list to represent fragmented data. Bennett discusses the use of the IP protocol process, but fails to directly disclose the IP process creation of a vector to maintain fragmentation information.

Stevens presents a detailed explanation of IP fragmentation of data, as well as detailing how an IP process creates a vector listing to represent the fragmented data for reassembly. On pages 148-151 Stevens discusses IP fragmentation, and in detail on page 149 Stevens shows how a listing is created stored in an identification field to represent fragmented data. This re-assembly vector allows a message to be re-assembled for receiving and re-fragmented for retransmission quickly and efficiently.

Art Unit: 2153

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bennett to include a vector list to represent fragmented data as taught by Stevens to allow a message to be re-assembled for receiving and re-fragmented for retransmission quickly and efficiently.

In regards to claims 4, 14, and 27, Stevens discloses a vector list comprises a vector specifying a protocol data unit number (page 149, paragraph 2 says an identification field is used to specify a data unit number), a length value (page 149, paragraph 2, a total length field is present), and an offset value for each fragment of the fragmented data (page 149, paragraph 2, a fragment offset field is provided).

In regards to claims 5, 15, and 28, Stevens discloses reassembling the fragmented data in accordance with the vectors in a vector list (page 148, last paragraph discusses reassembling the message at the receiver based on the vector list information).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 31 allowed.

Response to Arguments

1. Bennett's data flow within a protocol stack in a single computer cannot be properly interpreted as teaching or suggesting circuit elements associated with transmission direction of data between two computers.

Art Unit: 2153

2. Bennett contains no disclosure, teaching or even suggestion of Applicant's arrangement of elements in which each circuit flow object for a protocol layer is linked to the circuit element of the representative protocol flow object that matches the transmission direction associated with the circuit flow object.
3. Bennett does not disclose the sequencing of circuit flow objects.
4. Bennett does not disclose Applicant's claimed circuit flow objects that are linked to protocol flow objects and therefore fails to teach or suggest reassembling messages from the circuit flow objects linked to the protocol flow object at the top of the tree structure.

In response to argument 1, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. As claimed, the invention is not directed towards the transmission of data between two computers, merely the sequencing and reassembly of messages from protocol data between two computers. As interpreted by the examiner, in claim 2 for example, the transmissions and transmission directions are associated with the movement of the objects from one protocol layer to the next, not from one computer to another. As seen by the examiner, claim 2 is anticipated by the control buffers in Bennett.

In response to argument 2, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. As seen in Figure 2A for example, Bennett shows links between each protocol layer at the hardware level. Each protocol link has two possible directions associated with the transmission of data, up the tree or down the tree, depending on the direction the flow object is to travel, to a higher or lower hierarchical level.

In response to argument 3, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. Similarly to argument 2, Bennett utilizes directional links which indicate a sequenced

Art Unit: 2153

method of controlling the flow objects. An example of this sequencing is additionally seen in Figure 14A where the pathway is shown through the hierarchy of protocol levels.

In response to argument 4, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. As seen Figure 21, Bennett provides a method for reassembling messages, and as shown above in argument 1 Bennett also utilizes circuit flow objects.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Gulick et al (US Patent 6014709), a system for controlling a flow of messages by constructing a hierarchal tree of caches and vector files to maintain the message fragments in each logical level.
- Hansen (US Patent 6697871), a system and method for encoding and decoding protocol messages.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2153

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John R Brancolini whose telephone number is (571) 272-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



JRB



Dung C. Bui
Primary Examiner